1		PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS BUREAU	
2			
3			T . 02 2015
4	BUREAU ORDER No. 01-15 June 23, 2015		
5	1.13		
6			
7			
8	TO:	Internal Affairs Group and Special Operations Division Person	onnel
9			
10			
11	FROM:	Commanding Officer, Professional Standards Bureau	
12			
13			
14	SUBJECT:	GUIDELINES FOR CONDUCTING PERSONNEL CON	IPLAINT
15		INTERVIEWS	
16			
17			
18	This notice is designed to provide Internal Affairs Group (IAG) and Special Operations Division		
19	(SOD) investigators with guidelines to be considered when preparing for interviews of		
20	Department personnel. Investigators often encounter many challenges when striving to complete		
21	an investigation within the five month compliance date. Nevertheless, it is important to consider		
22	the stresses and hurdles that employees who are subject to an interview must overcome, and		
23	should make every reasonable effort to make them feel at ease. Below are guidelines that may		
24	facilitate the successful completion of the investigation, as well as improve relations with our		
25	fellow employees.		
26	•		
27	Scheduling of Interviews		
28			
29	It is the goal to accomplish interviews within 10 business days of the employee receiving notice		
30	to contact the investigator. Once an employee has identified his/her preferred representative or		
31	attorney, the investigator should work directly with the designated representative to schedule the		
32	interview.		
33			
34	When scheduling interviews, the normal waking hours of employees that need to be interviewed		
35	must be considered, and investigators should make every effort to conduct the interview when		
36	the employee is on duty.		
37			
38	Although the	investigator will ultimately determine the location of the interv	view, investigators
39	should consider reasonable requests to conduct the interview at a location of the employee's		
40	choice. These may include the employee's work location, the office of the employee's		
41	representative, attorney, or union, or any Internal Affairs office.		
42			
43 .	Investigators	should attempt to schedule interviews that are mutually conven	nient for the
44	employee, his/her representative and the investigator prior to ordering the employee to be present		
45	to be interviewed. In the rare circumstances where time is of the essence, and it is determined it		

is appropriate to order the employee to be interviewed, the investigator must ascertain if there is

a valid reason the employee has not responded to prior e-mails or other forms of communication

requesting contact to schedule an interview (i.e., vacation, days off, etc.). Ordering an employee

to be interviewed should be considered a "last resort," not the norm.

46

47 48

49

It is the goal for interviews to commence at the time they are scheduled. However, if the investigator has documents and/or videos he/she would like the employee to review prior to the interview, and the material was not previously identified and/or available for the employee and his/her representative to review, time must be provided either prior to the scheduled interview time or during the interview for the employee and his/her representative to review the materials.

Right to Representation

 A reasonable amount of time should be allotted to an employee to obtain a representative of his/her choice. (Sworn employees are entitled to an employee representative, an attorney, or both. See the employee's MOU if further clarification is needed.) When the chosen representative is not available for an unreasonable period of time, the employee should be advised to obtain another representative. Although the right to representation is technically only afforded to investigations where the interrogation focuses on matters that are likely to result in punitive action, if a "witness" employee requests a representative, investigators should allow him/her to have a representative present. Under no circumstances should an accused employee be discouraged from seeking representation or from having the representative present at all times, or be told that he/she doesn't need a representative, or that he/she doesn't have a right to a representative.

Investigative Strategy

Investigative strategy is up to the individual investigator. The employee being investigated will be informed of the nature of the investigation prior to the interview. Consideration should be given to informing the employee, at the time of the scheduling of the interview, of the existence of any associated reports he/she may have completed (e.g., Crime, Arrest, DFAR, etc.). Investigators should encourage the employee to obtain and review these documents and any other documents he/she may have completed regarding the incident prior to the interview. This should aid in the recollection of the incident by the interviewee, if necessary.

 Note: The investigator is not required to provide copies of these documents to assist the employee in preparation for the interview, but providing DR and booking numbers, or other information to assist the employee in obtaining the documents could save time during the interview.

Investigators should review and be guided by the Department's Digital In-Car Video (DICV) and Body Worn Video (BWV) policies/procedures if there is either DICV or BWV of the incident that led to the complaint.

Interview Sessions

At the beginning of the interview, if the employee is a sworn officer he/she should be allowed to ask the following question to clarify the Lybarger admonition, "If I refuse to answer your questions, will I be subject to discipline that could be as much as discharge or removal from office?" The employee should then be allowed to make a statement explaining why they will give a statement.

Interview sessions shall be for a reasonable period taking into consideration gravity and complexity of the issue being investigated. The employee being interviewed shall be allowed to attend to his or her own personal physical needs. This does not mean the subject of the interview can unreasonably request breaks during the interview. Also, the employee being interviewed is required to personally respond to the questions asked, not the attorney or representative.

Lastly, the interview is not only a fact-finding process for the investigator, but also an opportunity for the employee to tell his/her side of the story. To accomplish this, at the end of the formal questioning by the investigator, the employee should be asked if he/she has any information to add to his/her statement, and he/she should then be allowed to do so. The employee representative or attorney may assist the employee in this process by asking the employee follow up questions.

Any questions regarding this Order can be directed to the Commanding Officer, Internal Affairs Group.

1 2

DEBRA J. McCARTHY, Deputy Chief

Commanding Officer

Professional Standards Bureau

D. McCarty